arXiv:0809.3608v2 [math.DG] 13 Jan 2009

ISOTHERMIC HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

NEIL DONALDSON[†] AND CHUU-LIAN TERNG[*]

Abstract. A diagonal metric $\sum_{i=1}^n g_{ii}\mathrm{d}x_i^2$ is termed *Guichard*$_k$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} g_{ii} - \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n g_{ii} = 0$. A hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is *isothermic*$_k$ if it admits line of curvature co-ordinates such that its induced metric is Guichard$_k$. Isothermic$_1$ surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are the classical isothermic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Both isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and Guichard$_k$ orthogonal coordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are invariant under conformal transformations. A sequence of n isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ resp.) is called a Combescure sequence if the consecutive hypersurfaces (orthogonal co-ordinate systems resp.) are related by Combescure transformations. We give a correspondence between Combescure sequences of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and solutions of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system, and a correspondence between Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and solutions of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system, both being integrable systems. Methods from soliton theory can therefore be used to construct Christoffel, Ribaucour, and Lie transforms, and to describe the moduli spaces of these geometric objects and their loop group symmetries.

1. Introduction

A parameterised surface $f(x_1, x_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is *isothermic* if (x_1, x_2) is a conformal line of curvature co-ordinate system. For example, constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are isothermic away from umbilic points. Classical geometers constructed various geometric transforms for these surfaces that gave methods to generate new isothermic surfaces from a given one. The Christoffel transform associates to each isothermic surface $f_1(x_1, x_2)$ a second isothermic surface $f_2(x_1, x_2)$ (a Christoffel dual of f_1) such that the principal curvature directions of f_1 and f_2 are parallel and the map $f_1(x) \mapsto f_2(x)$ is orientation reversing. A Ribaucour transform is a diffeomorphism $\phi : M \to M^*$ between two surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying: (i) the normal line of M at p intersects the normal line of M^* at $p^* = \phi(p)$ at equal distance for all $p \in M$, (ii) ϕ maps principal directions of M to those of M^*. Given an isothermic surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$, one can solve a system of compatible ordinary differential equations to construct a two-parameter family of Ribaucour transforms so that the target surfaces are also isothermic; these transforms are known classically as Darboux transforms. These geometric

---

[†] Research supported in part by NSF Advance Grant.

[*] Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0707132.

transforms provide a rich class of isothermic surfaces. The connection of isothermic surfaces to soliton theory was first noted in [3], that the Gauss–Codazzi equation for isothermic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has a Lax pair and is a soliton equation. Techniques from soliton theory have been used to identify classical transformations of isothermic surfaces in [4, 6].

A notion of isothermic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ was introduced in [1, 2]: An immersion $f(x_1, x_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is *isothermic* if the normal bundle is flat and (x_1, x_2) are conformal line of curvature co-ordinates. It was proved in [1, 2] that the Gauss–Codazzi equation for isothermic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the soliton equation associated to $\frac{\mathrm{O}(n+1,1)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(1,1)}$, and analogues of classical transformations were constructed for these higher co-dimension isothermic surfaces.

Burstall asked in [2]: Is there any interesting theory of isothermic submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension greater than two? An attempt was made by Tojeiro in [11]: A parameterised submanifold $f(x)$ in Euclidean space is k-*isothermic* if the normal bundle is flat, x is line of curvature co-ordinates, the induced metric is conformal to a Riemannian product, and there are exactly k distributions $E_1, \ldots, E_k$ such that $TM = \oplus_{i=1}^k E_i$ and each $E_i(x)$ is contained in the common eigenspace of the shape operators $\{A_{\vec{v}} | \vec{v} \in \nu(M)_x\}$. However, the class of k-isothermic submanifolds is not as rich and does not have all the geometric transforms that isothermic surfaces have.

In this paper we give a positive answer to Burstall's question. We first define Guichard diagonal metrics. Fix $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. A diagonal metric $\sum_{i=1}^n g_{ii} \mathrm{d}x_i^2$ is *Guichard*$_k$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} g_{ii} = \sum_{i=n-k+1}^{n} g_{ii}.$$

Let $\mathrm{I}_{n-k,k} = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ with $\epsilon_i = 1$ for $i \leq n-k$ and $\epsilon_i = -1$ for $n-k < i \leq n$, and $(\ ,\ )_k$ the bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by

$$(\vec{x}, \vec{y})_k = \vec{x}^T \mathrm{I}_{n-k,k} \vec{y}.$$

If $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \mathrm{d}x_i^2$ is a diagonal metric, then $\mathrm{d}s^2$ is Guichard$_k$ if and only if $(\vec{u}, \vec{u})_k = 0$, where $\vec{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T$.We call $\vec{u}$ a *metric field* for $\mathrm{d}s^2$.

An orthogonal co-ordinate system $\phi(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is *Guichard*$_k$ if $\phi^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2)$ is Guichard$_k$, where $\mathrm{d}s_0^2$ is the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\phi(x), \psi(x)$ be orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Classically, a map $\phi(x) \mapsto \psi(x)$ is said to be a *Combescure transform* if $\phi_{x_i}(x)$ is parallel to $\psi_{x_i}(x)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

A hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is *isothermic*$_k$ if it admits line of curvature co-ordinates such that its induced metric is Guichard$_k$. It follows from the definition that isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are invariant under conformal transformations. Note that isothermic$_1$ surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are the classical isothermic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Let f_1, f_2 be two isothermic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The map $f_1(x) \mapsto f_2(x)$ is called a *Combescure transform* if $(f_1)_{x_i}$ is parallel to $(f_2)_{x_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 1.1. A sequence $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal coordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *Combescure sequence* if (i) $\phi_i(x) \mapsto \phi_{i+1}(x)$ is a Combescure transform for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, (ii) $\vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_n$ are linearly independent at every point, where $\vec{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \ldots, u_{in})^T$ are metric fields for $\phi_i^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2)$ (i.e., $\phi_i^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^2 \mathrm{d}x_j^2$) chosen in such a way that

$$\frac{(\phi_i)_{x_j}}{u_{ij}} = \frac{(\phi_1)_{x_j}}{u_{1j}}, \qquad 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \tag{1.1}$$

Condition (i) implies that given a choice of metric field $\vec{u}_1$ for $\phi_1^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2)$, there exist unique metric fields $\vec{u}_i$ for $\phi_i^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2)$ satisfying (1.1). Note that the condition that $\vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_n$ are independent is independent of choices of $\vec{u}_1$.

Definition 1.2. A sequence of isothermic hypersurfaces $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a *Combescure sequence* if (i) $f_i(x) \mapsto f_{i+1}(x)$ is a Combescure transform for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, (ii) $\vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_n$ are linearly independent at every point, where $\vec{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \ldots, u_{in})^T$ are chosen such that the induced metrics $\mathrm{I}_{f_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^2 \mathrm{d}x_j^2$ satisfy $\frac{(f_i)_{x_j}}{u_{ij}} = \frac{(f_1)_{x_j}}{u_{1j}}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Next we explain the relation between Combescure sequences and soliton equations. To each symmetric space U/K is associated a soliton hierarchy. The flows in the hierarchy are parameterised by (α, j) with $1 \leq \alpha \leq \mathrm{rk}(U/K)$ (the rank of U/K) and j a positive integer. Each flow is equivalent to the condition that a family of $\mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{C}}$-valued connection 1-forms $\{\theta_\lambda | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is flat: this family is the *Lax pair* of the flow. In fact, the Lax pair θ_λ of the (α, j)-th flow is a degree j polynomial in λ. For example, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)}{\mathrm{SO}(2)}$- and $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(3)}{\mathrm{SO}(3)}$ hierarchies are hierarchies for the non-linear Schrödinger equation, modified KdV equation, and the reduced 3-wave equation respectively. We put all $(\alpha, 1)$-th flows with $1 \leq \alpha \leq \mathrm{rk}(U/K)$ together to construct a first order non-linear system, the so-called U/K-system (cf. [7]). These integrable systems often arise in submanifold geometry.

We call a basis $\vec{C} = \{\vec{c}_1, \ldots, \vec{c}_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$ a *null basis* if each $\vec{c}_i$ is a null vector. One main result of this paper is to give a construction of a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces $\{f_1^{\xi,\vec{C}}, \ldots, f_n^{\xi,\vec{C}}\}$ from a solution ξ of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system and a null basis $\vec{C}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$. Moreover, we show that:

1. All Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces arise from this construction.
2. If $\vec{B}, \vec{C}$ are two null bases for $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$, then $f_i^{\xi,\vec{C}}(x) \to f_i^{\xi,\vec{B}}(x)$ is again a Combescure transform for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(3) Let $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ be isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that (i) $f_i(x) \mapsto f_{i+1}(x)$ is Combescure for all $1 \le i \le n-2$, (ii) $\vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_{n-1}$ are linearly independent at every point, where $\vec{u}_\ell = (u_{\ell 1}, \ldots, u_{\ell n})$ is the metric field for the induced metric I_{f_ℓ} chosen so that $\frac{(f_\ell)_{x_j}}{u_{\ell j}} = \frac{(f_1)_{x_j}}{u_{1j}}$ for all $2 \le \ell \le n-1$. Then there exists an isothermic$_k$ hypersurface f_n so that $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ is a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

(4) If (f_1, f_2) is a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_1$ surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then either (f_1, f_2) is a classical Christoffel pair, or (f_1, g_2) is, where $g_2(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, -x_2)$ is oppositely oriented to f_1.

We have similar results for Combescure sequences of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems and solutions of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system.

We apply techniques from soliton theory to construct loop group actions, Ribaucour transforms, and Lie transforms for Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces. We prove that Christoffel and Ribaucour transforms commute, and that the conjugation of a Ribaucour transform and a Lie transform is again Ribaucour. Moreover, our results generalise those for isothermic surfaces.

Results in [7] and [9] imply that Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces are determined by n^2 functions of one variable and a null basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$.

An n-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is *isothermic$_k$* if it has flat normal bundle and line of curvature co-ordinates such that the first fundamental form is Guichard$_k$. Isothermic$_k$ submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ are invariant under conformal transformations. All results for the co-dimension one case generalise easily to higher co-dimension.

All Guichard$_k$ co-ordinate systems and isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in this paper are defined on simply connected open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Although the Cauchy problems for the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$- and $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$- systems with rapidly decaying initial data on a non-characteristic line have global solutions, the corresponding co-ordinate systems and hypersurfaces may have singularities.

The paper is organised as follows: We write down the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$- and $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+m-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n+m-k,k)}$-systems and their Lax pairs in section 2, give the correspondence between solutions of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system and Combescure sequences of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ in section 3. In section 4 we describe the correspondence between solutions of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system and Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and construct Christoffel transforms of these. In the final section we (a) use the dressing action of a rational loop with two poles on the space of solutions of integrable systems to construct geometric Ribaucour transforms of Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces and prove that Christoffel and Ribaucour transforms commute, and (b) construct Lie transforms of Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces and show that the conjugation of a Ribaucour transform by a Lie transform is again a Ribaucour transform. These geometric transforms can easily be generalised to Combescure sequences of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ submanifolds in Euclidean space.

2. THE $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$- AND $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-SYSTEMS

Let U be a real simple Lie group, σ an involution of U (we also use σ to denote $\mathrm{d}\sigma_e$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}$ of U), K the fixed point set of σ, and $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}$ the ± 1 eigenspaces of σ on $\mathfrak{u}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal abelian[1] subalgebra in $\mathfrak{p}$, $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ a basis of $\mathfrak{a}$, and $\mathfrak{a}^\perp$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to the Killing form. The U/K-system [7] is the following PDE for $v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p}$,

$$[a_i, v_{x_j}] - [a_j, v_{x_i}] - [[a_i, v], [a_j, v]] = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Set

$$\theta_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i \lambda + [a_i, v]) \mathrm{d}x_i. \tag{2.1}$$

The following Proposition is well-known and the proof follows easily from a direct computation:

Proposition 2.1. *The following statements are equivalent for a smooth map $v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p}$ and θ_λ defined by* (2.1)*:*

1. *v is a solution of the U/K-system,*
2. *θ_λ is flat for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*
3. *θ_r is flat for some $r \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$.*

We call θ_λ the *Lax pair* for the solution v of the U/K-system. Note that θ_λ satisfies the *U/K-reality condition*:

$$\overline{\theta_{\bar\lambda}} = \theta_\lambda, \quad \sigma(\theta_{-\lambda}) = \theta_\lambda.$$

Definition 2.2. Given a solution v of the U/K-system, an *extended frame* E_λ for v is a parallel frame of θ_λ (i.e., $E_\lambda^{-1} \mathrm{d}E_\lambda = \theta_\lambda$) that satisfies the U/K-reality condition:

$$\overline{E_{\bar\lambda}} = E_\lambda, \quad \sigma(E_{-\lambda}) = E_\lambda. \tag{2.2}$$

---

[1] Throughout this paper maximal abelian will mean maximal *semisimple* abelian: when U/K is non-Riemannian there will generally be non-semisimple abelian subalgebras of dimension greater than the rank of U/K. E.g. $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathrm{stab}(\ell)$ where ℓ is an isotropic line in $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ is 3-dimensional abelian for the rank 2 space $\frac{\mathrm{O}(4,1)}{\mathrm{O}(3)\times\mathrm{O}(1,1)}$.

An extended frame E is called the *normalized extended frame for the solution* v if $E(0,\lambda) = \mathrm{I}$.

The following Proposition is well-known (cf. [1, 2]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let* v *be a solution of the* U/K*-system,* $\theta_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i\lambda + [a_i, v])\,\mathrm{d}x_i$ *the Lax pair of* v*, and* E_λ *an extended frame. Then:*

(1) $E_0 \in K$,

(2) *The gauge transformation*

$$E_0 * \theta_\lambda = E_0\theta_\lambda E_0^{-1} - \mathrm{d}E_0 E_0^{-1} = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n E_0 a_i E_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}x_i$$

is a $\mathfrak{p}$*-valued closed* 1*-form,*

(3) $Z := \frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda} E^{-1}\big|_{\lambda=0}$ *is a* $\mathfrak{p}$*-valued map and* $\mathrm{d}Z = \sum_{i=1}^n E_0 a_i E_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}x_i$.

Proof. A simple computation implies that $E_0 * \theta_\lambda = \lambda\Omega$. Since Ω is flat and $\Omega \wedge \Omega = 0$, $\mathrm{d}\Omega = 0$. The third statement follows from a direct computation. □ □

Example 2.4 (The $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system)**.** Here the involution on $\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)$ is $\sigma(g) = \mathrm{I}_{n,n} g \mathrm{I}_{n,n}^{-1}$, and

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\xi^T J \\ \xi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \xi \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n} \right\}, \qquad J = \mathrm{I}_{n-k,k},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ is the space of real $n \times n$ matrices. Set

$$a_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e_{ii}J \\ e_{ii} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Then $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ is a basis of a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, and moreover

$$\mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -F^T J \\ F & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, F = (f_{ij}), f_{ii} = 0 \quad \forall\, 1 \le i \le n \right\}.$$

The Lax pair for the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system is

$$\theta_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & -\lambda\delta J \\ \lambda\delta & \tau \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega = -\delta J F + F^T J \delta, \quad \tau = -\delta F^T J + F\delta J, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\delta = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{d}x_1, \ldots, \mathrm{d}x_n)$.

The $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system is given by the condition that θ_0 is flat, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{d}\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega, \quad \mathrm{d}\tau = -\tau \wedge \tau.$$

In other words, the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system for $F = (f_{ij})$ is

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_i (f_{ij})_{x_j} + \epsilon_j (f_{ji})_{x_i} - \epsilon_i\epsilon_j \sum_k f_{ik} f_{jk} = 0, & i \neq= j, \\ (f_{ij})_{x_i} + (f_{ji})_{x_j} - \sum_k \epsilon_k f_{ki} f_{kj} = 0, & i \neq j, \\ (f_{ij})_{x_k} + \epsilon_k f_{ik} f_{kj} = 0, & i, j, k \quad \text{distinct.} \end{cases}$$

Example 2.5 (The $\frac{O(2n+1-k,k)}{O(n+1)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system)**.** Here $\sigma(g) = I_{n+1,n} g I_{n+1,n}^{-1}$,

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\xi^T J \\ \xi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \xi \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n+1} \right\},$$

where $J = I_{n-k,k}$. Set

$$a_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A_i^T J \\ A_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i = (e_{ii}, 0), \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Then $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ forms a basis of a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, and

$$\mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\xi^T J \\ \xi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \xi = (F, \gamma), F = (f_{ij}), f_{ii} = 0, \ \forall\ 1 \le i \le n \right\}.$$

The $\frac{O(2n+1-k,k)}{O(n+1)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system is the PDE for $\xi = (F, \gamma)$ with $F = (f_{ij})$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)^T$ such that $f_{ii} = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. The Lax pair for the $\frac{O(2n+1-k,k)}{O(n+1)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system is

$$\theta_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & -\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} J \\ \lambda(\delta, 0) & \tau \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $J = I_{n-k,k}$, $\delta = \mathrm{diag}(dx_1, \ldots, dx_n)$, and

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta J F + F^T J \delta & -\delta J \gamma \\ \gamma^T J \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tau = (F\delta - \delta F^T) J, \tag{2.5}$$

Note that ω and τ are $\mathfrak{o}(n+1)$-valued and $\mathfrak{o}(n-k,k)$-valued 1-forms respectively, and that the $\frac{O(2n+1-k,k)}{O(n+1)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system is given by the flatness of ω and τ. In other words, the $\frac{O(2n+1-k,k)}{O(n+1)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system is the following PDE for $F = (f_{ij})$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)^T$:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_i (f_{ij})_{x_j} + \epsilon_j (f_{ji})_{x_i} - \epsilon_i \epsilon_j \sum_k f_{ik} f_{jk} = 0, & i \ne j, \\ (f_{ij})_{x_i} + (f_{ji})_{x_j} - \sum_k \epsilon_k f_{ki} f_{kj} = 0, & i \ne j, \\ (f_{ij})_{x_k} + \epsilon_k f_{ik} f_{kj} = 0, & i, j, k \quad \text{distinct}, \\ (\gamma_i)_{x_j} + \epsilon_j f_{ij} \gamma_j = 0, & i \ne j. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Example 2.6 (The $\frac{O(2n+m-k,k)}{O(n+m)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system)**.** The $\frac{O(2n+m-k,k)}{O(n+m)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system is the PDE for $\xi = (F, \gamma)$ defined by the flatness of ω and τ, where ω and τ are defined in terms of F, γ the same way as in (2.5), $F = (f_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ map with $f_{ii} = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$, and γ a $n \times m$-valued map. The Lax pair has the same form as (2.4).

3. Guichard orthogonal co-ordinate systems

We exhibit a relation between Combescure sequences of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and solutions of the $\frac{O(2n-k,k)}{O(n)\times O(n-k,k)}$-system.

First recall a simple and well-known Lemma (the proof follows from a direct computation):

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\phi(x)$ be an orthogonal system on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\phi^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \mathrm{d}x_i^2$, where $\mathrm{d}s_0^2$ is the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Set*

$$\vec{e}_i = \frac{\phi_{x_i}}{u_i}, \quad g = (\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n), \quad \omega_i = u_i \mathrm{d}x_i,$$

and $F = (f_{ij})$ with

$$f_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\epsilon_i \frac{(u_i)_{x_j}}{u_j}, & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

Then $\omega := (\omega_{ij}) = g^{-1}\mathrm{d}g$ is the flat Levi-Civita connection 1-form for $\phi^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2)$ and

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{(u_i)_{x_j}}{u_j}\mathrm{d}x_i - \frac{(u_j)_{x_i}}{u_i}\mathrm{d}x_j = \epsilon_i f_{ij}\mathrm{d}x_i + \epsilon_j f_{ji}\mathrm{d}x_j,$$

or equivalently, $\omega = (\omega_{ij}) = -\delta J F + F^T J \delta$, where $\delta = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{d}x_1, \ldots, \mathrm{d}x_n)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F = (f_{ij})$ be a solution of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system, and E_λ an extended frame of F. Then:*

(a) $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)$.

(b) *$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda}E^{-1}\big|_{\lambda=0}$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ -JY^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$-valued map Y and $\mathrm{d}Y = -\sum_{i=0}^n g_1 e_{ii} J g_2^{-1}\mathrm{d}x_i$.*

(c) *Let $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$ be a constant null vector. Then $Y\vec{c}$ is a Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate system on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2\mathrm{d}x_i^2$ defined on the open subset $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(x) \neq 0\}$, where $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T := J g_2^{-1}\vec{c}$.*

(d) *If $\vec{C} = \{\vec{c}_1, \ldots, \vec{c}_n\}$ is a null basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$, then $Y\vec{C} = \{Y\vec{c}_1, \ldots, Y\vec{c}_n\}$ is a Combescure sequence of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

(e) *If $\{\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n\}$ is another null basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$, then $Y(x)\vec{c}_i \mapsto Y(x)\vec{b}_j$ is a Combescure transform for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.*

Proof. (a) Since E_λ is an extended frame, it satisfies the U/K-reality condition (2.2), $E_0 \in K$. Hence we can write $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

(b) By Proposition 2.3, we have $\mathrm{d}Y = -g_1 \delta J g_2^{-1}$. Set $g_1 = (\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n)$ and $\vec{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T := g_2^{-1}\vec{c}$. Then

$$\mathrm{d}Y\vec{c} = -(\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n)\delta(\epsilon_1 u_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n u_n)^T = -\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i u_i \mathrm{d}x_i \vec{e}_i. \tag{3.1}$$

(c) The Euclidean metric is therefore $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \mathrm{d}x_i^2$. But $\vec{c}$ being a null vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$ implies that $(\vec{u}, \vec{u})_k = 0$. Hence $Y\vec{c}$ is a Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate system.

Statements (d) and (e) follow. □ □

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ *be a Combescure sequence of Guichard*$_k$ *orthogonal co-ordinate systems on* $\mathbb{R}^n$*, and* $\phi_i(0) = 0$*. Then there is a solution* F *of the* $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$*-system and a null basis* $\vec{C} = \{\vec{c}_1, \ldots, \vec{c}_n\}$ *of* $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$ *so that* $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ *is the Combescure sequence of Guichard*$_k$ *orthogonal co-ordinate systems constructed from* F *and* $\vec{C}$ *as in Theorem 3.2.*

Proof. Let $\vec{u}_\ell = (u_{\ell 1}, \ldots, u_{\ell n})^T$ be the metric field for $\phi_\ell^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2)$ chosen as in Definition 1.1, so $\frac{(\phi_\ell)_{x_j}}{u_{\ell j}} = \frac{(\phi_1)_{x_j}}{u_{1j}}$. Then the vector field $\vec{e}_j := \frac{(\phi_i)_{x_j}}{u_{ij}}$ is independent of i. Set

$$h = (\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n), \quad \omega = h^{-1}\mathrm{d}h.$$

Since h does not depend on ℓ, we have, by Lemma 3.1, that

$$f_{ij} := -\epsilon_i \frac{(u_{\ell i})_{x_j}}{u_{\ell j}}$$

is independent of ℓ for $i \neq j$. Let $F = (f_{ij})$ with $f_{ii} = 0$ for all i. Then

$$\omega = -\delta J F + F^T J \delta = h^{-1}\mathrm{d}h$$

is a flat $\mathfrak{o}(n)$-valued connection 1-form, where $\delta = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{d}x_1, \ldots, \mathrm{d}x_n)$.

Claim 1. F is a solution of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system.

The Lax pair θ_λ for the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system is (2.3). By Proposition 2.1, F is a solution of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system if and only if both ω and τ are flat, where $\omega = -\delta J F + F^T J \delta$ and τ is the $\mathfrak{o}(k, n-k)$-valued 1-form defined by

$$\tau = (\tau_{ij}) = (-\delta F^T + F\delta)J, \quad \text{i.e., } \tau_{ij} = -f_{ji}\epsilon_j \mathrm{d}x_i + f_{ij}\epsilon_j \mathrm{d}x_j.$$

To prove Claim 1 it thus suffices to prove that τ is flat. We will show that

$$\mathrm{d}\vec{u}_\ell^T = \vec{u}_\ell^T \tau, \qquad 1 \leq \ell \leq n, \tag{3.2}$$

i.e., $\vec{u}_1^T, \ldots, \vec{u}_n^T$ are linearly independent parallel sections for τ. It then follows that τ is flat. By the definition of f_{ij},

$$(u_{\ell i})_{x_j} = -\epsilon_i f_{ij} u_{\ell j}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i u_{\ell i}^2 = 0$,

$$\epsilon_i u_{\ell i}(u_{\ell i})_{x_i} = -\sum_{j\neq i} \epsilon_j u_{\ell j}(u_{\ell j})_{x_i} = u_{\ell i} \sum_{j \neq i} f_{ji} u_{\ell j}.$$

So we have

$$(u_{\ell i})_{x_i} = \epsilon_i \sum_{j \neq i} f_{ji} u_{\ell j}. \tag{3.3}$$

It is a short calculation using (3.3) to establish (3.2). This proves Claim 1.

Since $\tau^T J + J\tau = 0$ and (3.2), we have

$$\mathrm{d}\vec{u}_\ell = \tau^T\vec{u}_\ell = -J\tau J\vec{u}_\ell, \qquad 1 \le \ell \le n. \tag{3.4}$$

Let θ_λ denote the Lax pair for F, and $E_\lambda(x)$ the solution of

$$E_\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{d}E_\lambda = \theta_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & -\lambda\delta J \\ \lambda\delta & \tau \end{pmatrix}, \qquad E_\lambda(0) = \begin{pmatrix} h(0) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{I} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h(0) = (\vec{e}_1(0), \dots, \vec{e}_n(0)) \in \mathrm{O}(n)$. Since $E_\lambda(0) \in \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)$ and θ_λ satisfies the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-reality condition, E_λ satisfies the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-reality condition. In particular, $E_0(x) \in \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathrm{O}(n-k)$ so we may write $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$g_1^{-1}\mathrm{d}g_1 = \omega, \qquad g_2^{-1}\mathrm{d}g_2 = \tau.$$

But $h^{-1}\mathrm{d}h = \omega$ and $g_1(0) = h(0)$. So $g_1 = h$.

Claim 2. $\vec{u}_\ell = -Jg_2^{-1}J\vec{u}_\ell(0)$.

Since $g_2^{-1}\mathrm{d}g_2 = \tau$,

$$\mathrm{d}(Jg_2^{-1}) = -J\tau g_2^{-1} = -J\tau J(Jg_2^{-1}).$$

By (3.4), $h_2 = (\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n)$ also satisfies $\mathrm{d}h_2 = -J\tau Jh_2$. There is thus a constant null vector $\vec{c}_\ell$ such that $\vec{u}_\ell = -Jg_2^{-1}\vec{c}_\ell$. Since $g_2(0) = \mathrm{I}$, $\vec{c}_\ell = -J\vec{u}_\ell(0)$. This proves Claim 2.

By Theorem 3.2,

$$\left.\frac{\partial E_\lambda}{\partial\lambda}E_\lambda^{-1}\right|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ -JY^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $n \times n$ valued map Y. Since $E_\lambda(0)$ is independent of λ, $Y(0)$ is the zero matrix.

It remains to prove that $Y_\ell = \phi_\ell$, where $Y_\ell = Y\vec{c}_\ell$.

Use $\vec{u}_\ell = -Jg_2^{-1}\vec{c}_\ell$, $g_1 = h = (\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n)$, and Theorem 3.2 (b) to see that $\mathrm{d}Y_\ell = \sum_i u_{\ell i}\vec{e}_i\mathrm{d}x_i$. But $\mathrm{d}\phi_\ell = \sum_i u_{\ell i}\vec{e}_\ell\mathrm{d}x_i$ and $Y_\ell(0) = \phi_\ell(0) = 0$. Hence $Y_\ell = \phi_\ell$. □ □

Since an $\mathfrak{o}(n-k,k)$-valued connection 1-form τ with $n-1$ linearly independent parallel sections is flat, we have:

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}$ are Guichard$_k$ orthogonal coordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that (i) $\phi_i(x) \mapsto \phi_{i+1}(x)$ is a Combescure transform for $1 \le i \le n-2$, (ii) $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_{n-1}$ are linearly independent at every point, where $\vec{u}_\ell = (u_{\ell 1}, \dots, u_{\ell n})$ is the metric field of $\phi_i^*(\mathrm{d}s_0^2)$ chosen such that $\frac{(\phi_\ell)_{x_j}}{u_{\ell j}} = \frac{(\phi_1)_{x_j}}{u_{1j}}$ for all $2 \le \ell \le n-1$ and $1 \le j \le n$. There then exists a Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate system ϕ_n on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ is a Combescure sequence of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems.*

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2(e) and Theorem 3.3 we have:

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ be a Combescure sequence of orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\vec{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n\}$ a null basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$. $\vec{B}$ then defines a Combescure sequence of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi_i(x) \mapsto \psi_i(x)$ is a Combescure transform for each i.*

4. Isothermic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

In this section we describe the correspondence between solutions of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system and isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The following result can be proved in a similar manner to Theorem 3.2:

Theorem 4.1. *Let (F,γ) be a solution of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system, and E_λ an extended frame for (F,γ). Then:*

(a) *$E_0 \in \mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)$, so $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$.*

(b) *$\frac{\partial E}{\partial\lambda}E^{-1}\,|_{\lambda=0}$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ -JY^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $(n+1)\times n$ valued map Y, and $\mathrm{d}Y = -\sum_{i=1}^n g_1 e_{ii} J g_2^{-1}\mathrm{d}x_i$, where $J = \mathrm{I}_{n-k,k}$.*

(c) *Let $\vec{b}$ be a constant null vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$, then $Y\vec{b}$ is an immersed isothermic$_k$ hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with*

$$\mathrm{I}_{\vec{b}} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \mathrm{d}x_i^2, \quad \mathrm{II}_{\vec{b}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i\gamma_i u_i \mathrm{d}x_i^2,$$

where $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T = Jg_2^{-1}\vec{b}$.

(d) *If $\vec{C} = \{\vec{c}_1, \ldots, \vec{c}_n\}$ is a null basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$, then $Y\vec{C} = \{Y\vec{c}_1, \ldots, Y\vec{c}_n\}$ is a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.*

(e) *If $\{\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n\}$ is another null basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$, then $Y\vec{c}_i(x) \mapsto Y\vec{b}_i(x)$ is a Combescure transform for $1 \le i \le n$.*

We need the following Lemma to prove an analogue of Theorem 3.3 for Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$:

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is an immersed isothermic$_k$ hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with fundamental forms*

$$\mathrm{I} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \mathrm{d}x_i^2, \qquad \mathrm{II} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i h_i \mathrm{d}x_i^2,$$

where $(\vec{u},\vec{u})_k = 0$ and $\vec{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$. Set $F = (f_{ij})$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)^T$ by

$$f_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\epsilon_i \frac{(u_i)_{x_j}}{u_j}, & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j, \end{cases} \qquad \gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)^T, \quad \gamma_i = \epsilon_i h_i, \tag{4.1}$$

where $J = \mathrm{I}_{n-k,k} = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$. Then

(a)

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta JF + F^T J\delta & -\delta J\gamma \\ \gamma^T J\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

is flat,

(b) $\mathrm{d}\vec{u}^T = \vec{u}^T\tau$, *where*

$$\tau = -\delta F^T J + F\delta J. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Set

$$\vec{e}_i = \frac{f_{x_i}}{u_i}, \quad \omega_i = u_i \mathrm{d}x_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

and $\vec{e}_{n+1}$ the unit normal field. Then $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ is the dual frame and

$$\mathrm{d}f = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \vec{e}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \vec{e}_i \mathrm{d}x_i,$$

Write $\mathrm{d}\vec{e}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \omega_{ji}\vec{e}_j$ for $1 \le i \le n+1$. Then

$$\omega_{ij} = (\mathrm{d}\vec{e}_j, \vec{e}_i)_o, \qquad 1 \le i, j \le n+1. \tag{4.4}$$

It follows from elementary hypersurface theory that $(\omega_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n}$ is the Levi-Civita connection 1-form for the induced metric I_f and

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{(u_i)_{x_j}}{u_j}\mathrm{d}x_i - \frac{(u_j)_{x_i}}{u_i}\mathrm{d}x_j, \quad \omega_{n+1,i} = -h_i \mathrm{d}x_i, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n. \tag{4.5}$$

The Gauss–Codazzi equation for isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is given by the flatness of the $\mathfrak{o}(n+1)$-valued 1-form $\omega = (\omega_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n+1}$, which written in terms of F, γ is (4.2). This proves statement (a).

Use the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i u_i^2 = 0$ and the same computation as for the Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate system case to conclude $\mathrm{d}\vec{u}^T = \vec{u}^T\tau$. □

□

We have an analogue of Theorem 3.3 for Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ be a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and $f_i(0) = 0$. Then there is a solution (F, γ) of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system and a null basis $\vec{C} = \{\vec{c}_1, \ldots, \vec{c}_n\}$ so that $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ is the Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ constructed from (F, γ) and $\vec{C}$ as in Theorem 4.1.*

Proof. Let $\vec{u}_\ell = (u_{\ell 1}, \ldots, u_{\ell n})$ be the metric fields for I_{f_ℓ} (i.e., $\mathrm{I}_{f_\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{\ell i}^2 \mathrm{d}x_i^2$) such that

$$\frac{(f_\ell)_{x_i}}{u_{\ell i}} = \frac{(f_1)_{x_i}}{u_{1i}}$$

for all $1 \le \ell \le n$. We denote these vector fields by $\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n$ (independent of ℓ). This means that $(\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n)$ is the orthonormal principal curvature frame for each f_ℓ. So the unit normal $\vec{e}_{n+1}$ for f_1 is also the unit normal for each f_ℓ. By (4.4) the flat $\mathfrak{o}(n+1)$ connection 1-form $(\omega_{ij}(\ell))$

$$(\omega_{ij})(\ell) = (\mathrm{d}\vec{e}_j, \vec{e}_i)_0,$$

is independent of ℓ, denoted it by $\omega = (\omega_{ij})$. But ω_{ij} is also given by (4.5). So $\frac{(u_{\ell i})_{x_j}}{u_{\ell j}}$ is independent of ℓ. Let F_ℓ and γ_ℓ denote the matrix maps defined for f_ℓ as in (4.1). So we have proved that $F_\ell = F$ and $\gamma_\ell = \gamma$ are independent of ℓ, and ω is given by (4.2). Let τ be the $\mathfrak{o}(n-k,k)$ connection 1-form defined by (4.3). By Lemma 4.2 (b), $\mathrm{d}\vec{u}_\ell^T = \vec{u}_\ell^T \tau$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, i.e., $\vec{u}_\ell^T$ is a parallel frame for τ. By Definition 1.2 of a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces, $\vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_n$ are linearly independent. This proves that τ is flat. In Example 2.5, we see that the Lax pair θ_λ for (F,γ) at $\lambda = 0$ is $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix}$. By Proposition 2.1 (3), (F,γ) is a solution of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system.

The rest of the proof can be carried out the same way as that of Theorem 3.3. $\square$ $\square$

Since an $\mathfrak{o}(n-k,k)$-connection τ with $n-1$ linearly independent parallel sections is flat, we have

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ are isothermic$_k$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that (i) $f_i(x) \mapsto f_{i+1}(x)$ is Combescure for each $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, (ii) $\vec{u}_1, \ldots, \vec{u}_{n-1}$ are linearly independent at every point, where $\vec{u}_\ell = (u_{\ell 1}, \ldots, u_{\ell n})$ is the metric field for the induced metric I_{f_ℓ} chosen in such a way that $\frac{(f_\ell)_{x_j}}{u_{\ell j}} = \frac{(f_1)_{x_j}}{u_{1j}}$ for all $2 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. There then exists an isothermic$_k$ hypersurface f_n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ is a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces.*

Classical Christoffel transforms

When $n = 2$, we may assume that $\vec{c} = (1,1)^T$, $\vec{b} = (1,-1)^T$, $f = Y\vec{c}$, $g_1 = (\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3)$, $\mathrm{I}_f = e^{2u}(\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + \mathrm{d}x_2^2)$, and $g_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh u & \sinh u \\ \sinh u & \cosh u \end{pmatrix}$. Then $f(x) = Y(x)\vec{c} \mapsto \tilde{f}(x) = Y(x)\vec{b}$ given in Theorem 4.1 is a classical Christoffel transform of isothermic surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Since $g_2^{-1}\vec{c} = e^u(1,1)^T$ and $g_2^{-1}\vec{b} = e^{-u}(1,-1)^T$, we have $\mathrm{d}f = e^u(\vec{e}_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 + \vec{e}_2 \mathrm{d}x_2)$, $\mathrm{d}\tilde{f} = e^{-u}(\vec{e}_1 \mathrm{d}x_1 - \vec{e}_2 \mathrm{d}x_2)$, $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2$ are principal directions, and $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is orientation reversing.

Isothermic$_k$ submanifolds

An n-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ is isothermic$_k$ if it has flat normal bundle and line of curvature co-ordinates so that the induced metric is Guichard$_k$. Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 for isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces can be generalised easily to isothermic$_k$ submanifolds (with essentially the same statements except replacing $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$ by $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+m-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+m)\times \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$). In particular, 2-submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{2+m}$ are isothermic$_1$ if and only if they are isothermic in the sense of [1] and [2].

5. Loop Groups, Ribaucour and Lie transformations

Classically, geometric transforms for isothermic surfaces were constructed using differential geometric techniques. The Gauss–Codazzi equations for surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ admitting a huge class of geometric transforms often turn out to be soliton equations, and their geometric transforms can be constructed from the dressing action on the space of solutions. Although the classical geometric constructions are beautiful, they often seem mysterious. But if the Gauss–Codazzi equation is a soliton equation, then the techniques from soliton theory give a unified method to construct these geometric transforms. In this section, we first review the dressing action of a loop group on the space of solutions of the U/K-system, then use the dressing action of a rational loop with two poles and the scaling transformation of solutions of the U/K-system to, respectively, construct geometric Ribaucour and Lie transforms for Combescure sequences isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We also show that the conjugation of a Ribaucour transform by a Lie transform is Ribaucour and that Christoffel transforms commute with Ribaucour transforms.

The Dressing action

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $L(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the group of holomorphic maps from $\epsilon^{-1} < |\lambda| < \infty$ to $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the U/K-reality condition (2.2), $L_+(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ the subgroup of $g \in L(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ that can be extended holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}$, and $L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ the subgroup of $g \in L(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ that can be extended holomorphically to $\lambda = \infty$ with $g(\infty) = \mathrm{I}$. The dressing action of $L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ on $L_+(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ is defined as follows: Given $g_\pm \in L_\pm(U^{\mathbb{C}})$, use the Birkhoff Factorization Theorem to see that for generic $g_\pm$ we can factor $g_-g_+ = \hat{g}_+\hat{g}_-$ uniquely with $\hat{g}_\pm \in L_\pm(U^{\mathbb{C}})$, then the dressing action of $L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ on $L_+(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ is defined by $g_- * g_+ = \hat{g}_+$.

It is proved in [8] that the $L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ action induces an action on the space of solutions of the U/K-system and on the space of normalized extended frames: If E is the normalized frame of a solution v of the U/K-system, then $E(x) \in L_+(U^{\mathbb{C}})$. Given $g_- \in L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$, let $\hat{E}(x) = g_- * E(x)$. Recall that $\hat{E}(x)$ is obtained by factoring $g_-E(x) = \hat{E}(x)\tilde{g}_-(x)$ with $\hat{E}(x) \in L_+(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\tilde{g}_-(x) \in L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$. Then $\hat{E}$ is the normalized frame for a new solution $\tilde{v} = v + \pi(m_1)$ of the U/K-system, where m_1 is the coefficient of λ^{-1} for $\tilde{g}_-$, and $\pi(\xi)$ is the projection from $\mathfrak{p}$ to $\mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p}$. Note that Theorem 5.1 is a special case of this general Theorem when we take $g_- = p_{\alpha,L}$ defined by (5.2). In this case, the factorization is carried out explicitly.

The Cauchy problem

The U/K-system as an exterior differential system is involutive and, by Cartan–Kähler Theory, local analytic solutions are determined by a germ of an $\mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p}$-valued analytic function on a regular line (cf. [9]). Recall that $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ is regular if $\mathrm{ad}(a) : \mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{k}$ is injective. Solutions in the orbit of $L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ at the vacuum solution are local analytic.

We can also apply the theory of inverse scattering to find global solutions to the Cauchy problem (cf. [7]). Explicitly: fix a regular $b \in \mathfrak{a}$, and let $\xi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p}$ be rapidly decreasing and have L^1-norm less than 1; then there exists a unique global solution Ξ to the U/K-system such that $\Xi(tb) = \xi_0(t)$.

The Dressing action of a simple element

Sections 3 and 4 explain how to construct Combescure sequences of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ from solutions of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-systems and $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-systems respectively. The dressing action of the loop group on the space of solutions of the U/K-system gives rise to various transformations of the corresponding geometric objects. However only the action of the simplest kind of rational loops in $L_-(U^{\mathbb{C}})$ are known to give geometrically interesting transforms.

The dressing action of a rational germ on the space of solutions of the U/K-system can be computed by residue calculus. We can thus use Theorems 3.2 and 4.1 to construct geometric transformations of Guichard$_k$ orthogonal co-ordinate systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We give the explicit formulae for the dressing actions of the simplest type of rational loops and show that the corresponding transformations of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces are Ribaucour transformations.

Simple elements $p_{\alpha,L}$

Let σ be the conjugation by $\rho = \mathrm{I}_{n+1,n}$ as in Example 2.5 that gives the symmetric space $\frac{U}{K} = \frac{O(2n+1-k,k)}{O(n+1)\times O(n-k,k)}$. Choose a scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\times \cup i\mathbb{R}^\times$, and an isotropic line ℓ such that either

$$(5.1)\quad \ell \leq \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-k,k} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\times, \quad \text{or} \quad \ell \leq \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k} \text{ and } \alpha \in i\mathbb{R}^\times,$$

where $\mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $L = \ell^{\mathbb{C}}$ and suppose in addition that $\rho L \neq L$ (equivalently $\rho L \not\leq L$). Let π_L denote the projection onto L away from $\rho L^\perp$. In fact, if $\ell = \langle \vec{v} \rangle$ with $(\vec{v}, \vec{v})_k = 0$ and $(\vec{v}, \rho\vec{v})_k = 1$, then

$$\pi_L = \vec{v}\vec{v}^T\rho, \quad \pi_{\rho L} = \rho\vec{v}\vec{v}^T.$$

Define the *simple element* $p_{\alpha,L}$ by

$$(5.2)\qquad p_{\alpha,L}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda-\alpha}{\lambda+\alpha}\pi_L + \pi_{(L\oplus\rho L)^\perp} + \frac{\lambda+\alpha}{\lambda-\alpha}\pi_{\rho L}.$$

It is easily checked that $p_{\alpha,L}$ satisfies the U/K-reality condition.

The following is known ([1, 5]) for the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-1,1)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-1,1)}$-system, and exactly the same proof works for the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system and for the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\frac{U}{K} = \frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n)\times\mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$*,* $E_\lambda(x)$ *the normalized extended frame for a solution* (F,γ) *of the* $\frac{U}{K}$*-system,* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$*,* $L = \ell^{\mathbb{C}}$ *an isotropic line in* $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1-k,k}$ *such that* ℓ *satisfies* (5.1)*, and* $p_{\alpha,L}$ *the simple element defined by* (5.2)*. Then:*

(1) *There is an open subset* B *of the origin in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *such that* $E_\alpha^{-1}(x)L \neq \rho(E_\alpha^{-1}(x)L)$ *for all* $x \in B$*, where* $\rho(g) = \mathrm{I}_{n+1,n}\, g\, \mathrm{I}_{n+1,n}^{-1}$*,*

(2) $\tilde{L}(x) = E_\alpha^{-1}(x)L$ *and* α *satisfy* (5.1)*, so there exist* $\vec{Q}(x) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ *and* $\vec{Z}(x) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *such that* $\tilde{L}(x) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \vec{Q}(x) \\ \vec{Z}(x) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ *with* $(\vec{Q},\vec{Q})_0 = -(\vec{Z},\vec{Z})_k = 2$ *and* $\bar{\vec{Q}} = \vec{Q}$ *and* $\bar{\vec{Z}} = \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha^2)\vec{Z}$*,*

(3) $\tilde{E}(x) := E(x)\, p^{-1}_{\alpha, E_\alpha^{-1}(x)L}$ *is an extended frame for a new solution* $(\tilde{F},\tilde{\gamma})$ *of the* U/K*-system, where*

$$(\tilde{F},\tilde{\gamma}) = (F,\gamma) + \alpha \vec{Z}\vec{Q}^T.$$

Ribaucour transforms

Let M and $\tilde{M}$ be two hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. A diffeomorphism $\phi : M \to \tilde{M}$ is a *Ribaucour transform* (cf. [1]) if:

(1) For each $p \in M$ there is an n-sphere S_p containing p and $\phi(p)$ such that M and $\tilde{M}$ are tangent to S_p at p and $\phi(p)$ respectively.

(2) $\mathrm{d}\phi_p$ maps eigenvectors of the shape operator of M at p to eigenvectors of the shape operator of $\tilde{M}$ at $\phi(p)$.

(3) The tangent line through p in a principal direction $\vec{v}$ meets the tangent line through $\phi(p)$ in the direction of $\mathrm{d}\phi_p(\vec{v})$ at equal distance.

Remarks

(i) The equidistance claim of (3) follows automatically from (1) and (2) provided that the principal directions through $p, \phi(p)$ in fact meet.

(ii) In classical differential geometry, a *sphere congruence* in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an n parameter family of n-spheres $\mathcal{S} = \{S_x \,|\, x \in \mathcal{O}\}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is easy to see that there are two hypersurfaces $f(x)$ and $\tilde{f}(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ so that $f(x_0), \tilde{f}(x_0) \in S_{x_0}$ and S_{x_0} is tangent to f and $\tilde{f}$ at $f(x_0)$ and $\tilde{f}(x_0)$ respectively. These are the called *envelopes* of $\mathcal{S}$. We also call the resulting map $f(x) \mapsto \tilde{f}(x)$ a sphere congruence. A Ribaucour transform is thus a sphere congruence that preserves lines of principal curvature.

(iii) Let M be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and $(\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_{n+1})$ an orthonormal frame on M such that $\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n$ are principal directions (i.e., unit eigenvectors for the shape operator of M). Let $\tilde{M}$ be another hypersurface, $\phi : M \to \tilde{M}$ a diffeomorphism, $\tilde{\vec{e}}_{n+1}$ the unit normal field on $\tilde{M}$, and $\tilde{\vec{e}}_i$ is the direction of $\mathrm{d}\phi(\vec{e}_i)$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Then ϕ is a Ribaucour transform if:

  (a) $\tilde{\vec{e}}_i$ is a principal direction for $\tilde{M}$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

(b) There exist functions $h_1, \ldots, h_{n+1}$ on M such that

$$\phi(p) + h_i(p)\tilde{\vec{e}}_i(p) = p + h_i(p)\vec{e}_i(p), \qquad 1 \le i \le n+1$$

for all $p \in M$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (F, γ) be a solution of the $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n-1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$-system, E the normalized extended frame for (F,γ), Y defined by*

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda} E^{-1}\big|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ -JY^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\vec{c}$ a constant null vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n-k,k}$, and $f_{\vec{c}} = Y\vec{c}$ (the resulting isothermic$_k$ hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$). Let $p_{\alpha,L}$ be the simple element defined by (5.2), and $\vec{Q}, \vec{Z}$ and $\tilde{E}$ as in Theorem 5.1. Then:

1. *$E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{E}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{g}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $g_1, \tilde{g}_1 \in \mathrm{O}(n+1)$ and $g_2, \tilde{g}_2 \in \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)$.*
2. *Write $g_1 = (\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_{n+1})$, $\tilde{g}_1 = (\tilde{\vec{e}}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\vec{e}}_{n+1})$, $\vec{u} = Jg_2^{-1}\vec{c} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T$, $\vec{Q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_{n+1})^T$, and $\vec{Z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)^T$. Set*

$$\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}} := f_{\vec{c}} - \frac{\vec{Z}^T\vec{u}}{\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} q_j\vec{e}_j.$$

   Then
   - (a) *$\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}}$ is isothermic$_k$,*
   - (b) *$\{\vec{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\tilde{\vec{e}}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are principal curvature directions of $f_{\vec{c}}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}}$ respectively,*
   - (c) *$R_{\alpha,L} : f_{\vec{c}} \mapsto \tilde{f}_{\vec{c}}$ is a Ribaucour transform, in fact,*

$$\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}} - \frac{(\vec{Z},\vec{u})_k}{\alpha q_i}\tilde{\vec{e}}_i = f_{\vec{c}} - \frac{(\vec{Z},\vec{u})_k}{\alpha q_i}\vec{e}_i, \qquad 1 \le i \le n+1.$$

Proof. Note that

$$p^{-1}_{\alpha,E_\alpha^{-1}L}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Q}\vec{Q}^T & -\frac{\alpha\lambda}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Q}\vec{Z}^TJ \\ \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Z}\vec{Q}^T & \mathrm{I} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Z}\vec{Z}^TJ \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J = \mathrm{I}_{n-k,k}, \tag{5.3}$$

from which we write

$$\tilde{E}_\lambda = E_\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Q}\vec{Q}^T & -\frac{\alpha\lambda}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Q}\vec{Z}^TJ \\ \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Z}\vec{Q}^T & I - \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2-\alpha^2}\vec{Q}\vec{Q}^TJ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Evaluate at $\lambda = 0$ to get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{g}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I} - \vec{Q}\vec{Q}^T & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{I} + \vec{Z}\vec{Z}^TJ \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.4}$$

Let $\tilde{\vec{e}}_i$ and $\vec{e}_i$ denote the ith column of g_1 and $\tilde{g}_1$ respectively. By (5.4) we have

$$\tilde{\vec{e}}_i = \vec{e}_i - q_i \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} q_j \vec{e}_j, \quad 1 \le i \le n+1. \tag{5.5}$$

Recall that $\vec{u} = J g_2^{-1} \vec{c}$. Notice that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{E}^{-1}\big|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{Y} \\ -J\tilde{Y}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda} E^{-1}\big|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ -JY^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J = \mathrm{I}_{n-k,k}$. By (5.3),

$$\tilde{Y} = Y - \alpha^{-1} g_1 \vec{Q} \vec{Z}^T J g_2^{-1}. \tag{5.6}$$

Set $\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}} = \tilde{Y}\vec{c}$. Then since $f = Y\vec{c}$ and (5.6),

$$\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}} = f_{\vec{c}} - \alpha^{-1} g_1 \vec{Q} \vec{Z}^T J g_2^{-1} \vec{c}.$$

Or equivalently,

$$\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}} - f_{\vec{c}} = -\alpha^{-1} g_1 \vec{Q} (\vec{Z}, g_2^{-1}\vec{c})_k = -\frac{\vec{Z}^T \vec{u}}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} q_i \vec{e}_i.$$

By (5.5),

$$\tilde{\vec{e}}_i - \vec{e}_i = -q_i \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} q_j \vec{e}_j, \qquad 1 \le i \le n+1.$$

Hence $\tilde{f}_{\vec{c}} + f_i \tilde{\vec{e}}_i = f_{\vec{c}} + f_i \vec{e}_i$ for $1 \le i \le n+1$, where $f_i = -\frac{\vec{Z}^T \vec{u}}{\alpha q_i}$. □ □

As a consequence of Theorems 4.3 and 5.1 we have:

Corollary 5.3. *Let* $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ *be a Combescure sequence of isothermic*$_k$ *hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, *and* $p_{\alpha,L}$ *a simple element defined by* (5.2) *so that* α, L *satisfying condition* (5.1). *Then there is a new Combescure sequence of isothermic*$_k$ *hypersurfaces* $\{\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n\}$ *so that* $f_i(x) \mapsto \tilde{f}_i(x)$ *is a Ribaucour transform for each* i. *Moreover, the radius of* $S_i(x)$ *depends only on* x *but not on* i, *where* $S_i(x_0)$ *is the sphere tangent to* f_i *and* $\tilde{f}_i$ *at* $f_i(x_0)$ *and* $\tilde{f}_i(x_0)$ *respectively.*

Ribaucour transforms of a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ can also be constructed by solving a system of compatible ODEs:

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $f = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ *be a Combescure sequence of isothermic*$_k$ *hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *with* $\mathrm{I}_{f_1} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \mathrm{d}x_i^2$, $f_i(0) = 0$, *and* $f_{x_i}(0)$ *is parallel to* $\vec{v}_i$ *for* $1 \le i \le n$, *where* $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_{n+1}\}$ *is the standard basis of* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. *Let* (F, γ) *denote the solution of the* $\frac{\mathrm{O}(2n+1-k,k)}{\mathrm{O}(n+1)\times \mathrm{O}(n-k,k)}$*-system constructed from* f *as in Theorem 4.3, i.e.,* F, γ *are defined by* (4.1). *Let* θ_λ *the Lax pair* (2.4) *for* (F,γ). *Given* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\times \times i\mathbb{R}^\times$ *and* $\ell = \langle \vec{v}\rangle$ *satisfying* (5.1), *then the following first order system for* $\vec{y} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ *is solvable and has a unique solution:*

$$\mathrm{d}\vec{y} = -\theta_\alpha \vec{y}, \qquad \vec{y}(0) = \vec{v}.$$

Moreover,

(1) $\langle \vec{y}(x)\rangle$ *satisfies condition* (5.1), *so there exists* $\vec{Q}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *and* $\vec{Z}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *if* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *and in* $i\mathbb{R}^n$ *if* $\alpha \in i\mathbb{R}^\times$ *such that* $\langle \vec{y}\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \vec{Q} \\ \vec{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ *and* $(\vec{Q}, \vec{Q})_0 = -(\vec{Z}, \vec{Z})_k = 2$,

(2) $\tilde{f}_i := f_i - \frac{\vec{Z}^T \vec{u}}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} q_j \vec{e}_j$ *defines a Ribaucour transform* $R_{\alpha,L}$, *where* $\vec{e}_i = \frac{f_{x_i}}{u_i}$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\vec{e}_{n+1}$ *is the unit normal for* f, $\vec{Q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_{n+1})^T$, *and* $\vec{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T$,

(3) $\{\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n\}$ *is a Combescure sequence of isothermic*$_k$ *hypersurfaces.*

Proof. Note that Theorem 5.1(2) says that $\tilde{L} = E_\alpha L$, where E is an extended frame of (F,γ). Then

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{L} = -E_\alpha^{-1}\mathrm{d}E_\alpha E_\alpha^{-1} L = -\theta_\alpha \tilde{L}.$$

This gives a system of ODE $\mathrm{d}\vec{y} = -\theta_\alpha \vec{y}$. This system has n independent solutions if and only if θ_α is flat. The rest follows. □

Let $R_{\alpha,L}$ and $C_{\vec{B}}$ denote the Ribaucour and Combescure transforms for Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. It follows from the constructions of these transforms commute, i.e.,

$$C_{\vec{B}} \circ R_{\alpha,L} = R_{\alpha,L} \circ C_{\vec{B}}.$$

Scaling transforms

We review the scaling transform on the space of solutions of the U/K-system (cf. [8]). Let $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$ be the group of automorphisms of the group L defined in the beginning of this section, and $\rho : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathrm{Aut}(L)$ the group homomorphism defined by

$$(\rho(r)(g))(\lambda) = g(r\lambda).$$

It is easy to see that $\rho(r)(L_\pm) \subset L_\pm$. The multiplication for the semi-direct product $\mathbb{R}^+ \times_\rho L_-$ is

$$(r_1, g_1)(r_2, g_2) = (r_1 r_2, g_1(\rho(r_1)(g_2))).$$

The $\mathbb{R}^+$-action on the solutions of the U/K-system is defined as follows: If $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and v is a solution of the U/K-system, then $\tilde{v}(x) = r^{-1}v(rx)$ is again a solution and the normalized frames are related by

$$\tilde{E}(x,\lambda) = E(r^{-1}x, r\lambda).$$

Then $r * v = \tilde{v}$ and $r * E = \tilde{E}$ define an $\mathbb{R}^+$-action on the space of solutions and the space of normalized extended frames of the U/K-system.

It is proved in [8] that the $\mathbb{R}^+$-action and the L_--action on the spaces of solutions and normalized extended frames extend to an action of the semi-direct product $\mathbb{R}^+ \times_\rho L_-$ on these spaces. Since $(r,1)(1,g)(r^{-1},1) = (1,\rho(r)(g))$, we have

$$r*(g*(r^{-1}*v)) = (\rho(r)(g))*v, \qquad r*(g*(r^{-1}*E)) = (\rho(r)(g))*E, \tag{5.7}$$

where v is a solution of the U/K-system and E is its normalized extended frame.

Lie Transforms

As a consequence of the $\mathbb{R}^+$-action and Theorem 4.1 we get,

Theorem 5.5. *If $f = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ is a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $\tilde{f} = \{\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n\}$ is a Combescure sequence of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where $\tilde{f}_i(x) = rf_i(r^{-1}x)$. We denote this transform by S_r.*

The scaling transform exists for the Sine-Gordon equation $q_{xt} = \sin q$: $\tilde{q}(x,t) = q(rx, r^{-1}t)$ is again a solution of the SGE if q is. The Gauss–Codazzi equation for $K=-1$ surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the SGE, and the scaling transformation induces a transformation of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $K=-1$. Such transformations were constructed by Lie. We therefore call the transformation given in Theorem 5.5 the *Lie transform* for isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces.

It follows from $\rho(r)(p_{\alpha,L}) = p_{r^{-1}\alpha,L}$ and (5.7) that we have:

Corollary 5.6. *Let S_r and $R_{\alpha,L}$ denote Lie transform and the Ribaucour transform for Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfacesin $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ respectively. Then $S_r \circ R_{\alpha,L} \circ S_{r^{-1}} = R_{r^{-1}\alpha,L}$.*

Ribaucour transforms are defined for submanifolds with flat normal bundle (cf. [1]): Let $M, \tilde{M}$ be n-dimensional submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ with flat normal bundle, and $(\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n)$ an orthonormal frame on M such that $\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n$ are common eigenvectors for the shape operators of M, and $\vec{e}_{n+1}, \ldots, \vec{e}_{n+m}$ are a parallel normal field to M. A Ribaucour transformation from M to $\tilde{M}$ is a bundle morphism $P : \nu(M) \to \nu(\tilde{M})$ which covers a diffeomorphism $\phi : M \to \tilde{M}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. For $1 \le i \le n$, $\mathrm{d}\phi_p(\vec{e}_i(p))$ is a common eigenvector of the shape operators of $\tilde{M}$ at $\phi(p)$,
2. $(\tilde{\vec{e}}_{n+1}, \ldots, \tilde{\vec{e}}_{n+m}) := (P(\vec{e}_{n+1}), \ldots, P(\vec{e}_{n+m}))$ is an orthonormal parallel normal frame on $\tilde{M}$.
3. There exist smooth functions r_i on M such that

$$p + r_i(p)\vec{e}_i(p) = \phi(p) + r_i(p)\tilde{\vec{e}}_i(p), \qquad 1 \le i \le n+m,$$

   where $\tilde{\vec{e}}_i$ is the direction of $\mathrm{d}\phi(\vec{e}_i)$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

It follows that if ϕ is Ribaucour, then M and $\tilde{M}$ envelop m congruences of n-spheres: fix $n+1 \leq i \leq n+m$, the ith congruence is the family of n-spheres S_p in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ with centres $p + r_i(p)\vec{e}_i(p)$ and radii $|r_i(p)|$.

Ribaucour and Lie transforms can similarly be constructed for Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ n-submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ as for Combescure sequences of isothermic$_k$ hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The first author was supported in part by an NSF Advance Grant. The second author was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0707132.

References

[1] Brück, M., Du, X., Park, J., Terng, C-L.: *The submanifold geometries associated to Grassmannian systems*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **735**, viii+95 (2002)

[2] Burstall, F.E.: *Isothermic surfaces, conformal geometry, Clifford algebras and integrable systems*; in Integrable systems, geometry, and topology, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **36**, 1–82 (2006)

[3] Cieśliński, J., Goldstein, P., Sym, A.: *Isothermic surfaces in E^3 as soliton surfaces.* Phys. Lett. A **205**, 37–43 (1995)

[4] Cieśliński,J.: *The Darboux-Bianchi transformation for isothermic surfaces.* Diff. Geom. Appl. **7**, 1-28 (1997)

[5] Donaldson, N.M., Terng, C-L.: *Conformally flat submanifolds in spheres and integrable systems.* arXiv:math-dg/0803.2754v2 (2008)

[6] Hertrich-Jeromin, U., Pedit, F.: *Remarks on the Darboux transform of isothermic surfaces.* Doc. Math. **2**, 313–333 (1997)

[7] Terng, C-L.: *Soliton equations and differential geometry.* J. Diff. Geom. **45**, 407–445 (1997)

[8] Terng, C-L., Uhlenbeck, K.: *Bäcklund transformations and loop group actions.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53**, 1–75 (2000)

[9] Terng, C-L., Wang, E.: *Curved flats, exterior differential systems, and conservation laws*; in Complex, contact and symmetric manifolds, Progr. Math. **234**, 235–254 (2005)

[10] Terng, C-L., Wang, E.: *Transformations of flat Lagrangian immersions and Egoroff nets*, Asian J. Math. **12**, 99–119 (2008)

[11] Tojeiro, R.: *Isothermic submanifolds of Euclidean space.* J. reine angew. Math. **598**, 1–24 (2006)

Department of Mathematics, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697-3875

E-mail address: `ndonalds@math.uci.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697-3875

E-mail address: `cterng@math.uci.edu`